



## The reality of free movement for young European citizens migrating in times of crisis

<http://euonthemove.eu/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/onthemoveProject/>

### Evaluation report

### Awareness Event

National Human Rights Commission, 35, rue Saint Dominique, 75007

October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2017

At the conclusion of the awareness event, the participants ('change agents') were requested to fill out an evaluation form. The outcome of this evaluation is presented below.

**Overall number of participants: 27**

**Among them: 6 young people and 21 national authorities representatives**

	Percentage of answers				
	NOT AT ALL		VERY MUCH		
	1	2	3	4	5
1. Did the awareness event meet your expectations;			13,3 %	73,3 %	13,3 %
2. Is the "On the Move" project relevant to your work or life circumstances;		13,3 %	20%	40%	26,7 %
3. Did this event raise your awareness regarding your rights as an EU citizen?			33,3 %	46,7 %	20%
4. Did this event raise your awareness on the possibilities offered by free movement?		6,7%	46,7 %	46,7 %	
5. Did this event improve your knowledge on specific national barriers in legislation, practices, or perceptions?			46,7 %	40%	13,3 %



Co-funded by the Rights, Equality and  
Citizenship Programme of the European Union



6. Did this event provide you with insights on possible ways to address barriers to free movement?			53,3 %	46,7 %	
From the answers collected, it appears that the event reached its goal, which was to increase awareness on barriers to freedom of movement within the European Union and the ways to overcome them. To most young people who attended the event, freedom of movement within the European Union is a reality, because they have already moved, are willing to move or are familiar with people who have moved. As a matter of fact, it is very important for them to know how to overcome barriers. For those who are willing to move, we can infer from the results that the event should have focused more on the positive sides of free movement.					
7. Did this event provide chances for useful networking?	6,7%	40%	46,7 %	6,7%	
Networking was not at the heart of the public event. As a matter of fact, there was not a time of conviviality scheduled during which the participants could have exchange on their personal experience.					
8. Would you say that you gained greater insight in the common beliefs and stereotypes that act as obstacles to free movement?			26,7 %	53,3 %	20%
9. Are you going to use the outcomes/ material of this project in your line of work and/or research?			6,7%	73,3 %	13,3 % <sup>1</sup>
10. Did you find the Manual for Young People useful?			13,3 %	66,7 %	20%
11. Did you find the Best Practice Manual for Authorities useful?			6,7%	73,3 %	20%
12. Did you find the findings and conclusions of the research undertaken in the framework of the "On the Move" project useful?				66,7 %	33,3 %
Overall, participants gave positive feedbacks on the manuals and our research. During the event, the work on our website was shared and participants were invited to go through it. The fact that participants declare that they will use our research in their work or research confirms that our research is of particular interest to them.					
13. Was the duration of this event satisfactory?			33,3 %	46,7 %	13,3 % <sup>2</sup>
14. Would you be interested in future similar initiatives?		13,3 %	20%	60%	6,7%
Participants showed enthusiasm for our research and for having new awareness raising events. The answers and comments to the several questions tend to show that there is a lack of knowledge on the reality of free movement. However, several participants pointed out that they would prefer to have the manuals printed and that they should be distributed during national events.					

<sup>1</sup>One person did not answer this question.

<sup>2</sup>One person did not answer this question.



## **ANNEX: Name of participants and the institutions they represented**

Renée Koering-Joulin	National Human Rights Commission
Diane Roman	National Human Rights Commission
Jean-Louys Campestre	National Human Rights Commission
Anne Urtubia	Médecins du Monde
Nicole Questiaux	National Human Rights Commission
Jean-Yves Montfort	National Human Rights Commission
Laurène Chesnel	Inter-LGBT
Bernadette Pillog	CFME
Arnaud de Broca	France Assos Santé - Interassociative collective on health (CISS)
Martine Ostrovsky	Reporters Without Borders
Denis Vienot	Caritas France
Michel Brugière	Médecins du Monde
Patrick Peugeot	PQ
Antoine Bernard	Federation for Human Rights - FIDH
Geneviève de Coster	ATD-quart monde
Bertrand Michel	Fédération protestante de France
Anne-Cécile Antoni	ACAT (Action of Christians Against Torture)
Jean-Michel Balling	Grande Loge De France
Pauline Chetcuti	Action Against Hunger
George Kutukdjian	National Human Rights Commission
Jean-Pierre Raoult	Mouvement contre le racisme et pour l'amitié entre les peuples - MRAP
Gilles Oddos	Terre des Hommes
Pierre-Baptiste Cordier-Simonneau	Confédération française des travailleurs chrétiens
Frédérique Bartlett	Confédération Générale du Travail
Alain Dru	Confédération Générale du Travail
Magali Lafourcade	National Human Rights Commission
Christine Lazerges	National Human Rights Commission

*Disclaimer:* "This evaluation report has been produced with the financial support of the Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme of the European Union. The contents are the sole responsibility of the Institut Français des Droits et Libertés and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Commission."



Co-funded by the Rights, Equality and  
Citizenship Programme of the European Union

